UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,214	01/20/2006	Frank Pfluecker	MERCK3116	9757
	7590 04/15/200 TE, ZELANO & BRA	EXAMINER		
2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON, VA 22201			NGUYEN, COLETTE B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/15/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/565,214	PFLUECKER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

		COLETTE WOOTEN	17.50					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address					
THE	REPLY FILED <u>27 March 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
1. 🛚	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request					
a)	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.						
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.					
have under set fo may r	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months of the date of					
	filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi NDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a					
3. 🛚	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, k (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO						
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belown they are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying the issues for					
((d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.					
4. 🗌	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
5. 🛚								
6. 🗌 - 🔽	non-allowable claim(s).							
7. 🛚	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-5,13,21,28 and 29. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 6-12,22 and 25-27.	rided below or appended.	l be entered and an explanation of					
AFFI	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	<u>-</u> .						
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails to provide a ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).					
	☑ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.					
	The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.		condition for allowance because:					
	Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Me	elvin Curtis Mayes/	/COLETTE NGUYEN/						
	ervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793	Examiner, Art Unit 1793						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: limiting the temperature to range of 140-200C raises a new issue that would require further consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the amened claim 1 now narrows the scope of the invention to a limited temperature range which would require further consideration and /or searches. Analysis would also need to be done. As to the 132 Declaration, the evidence does not make a comparison to the closest prior art, that being the material made by the combination of the references (particularly in light of the argument that claim 1 is not limited to the claimed process). It certainly does not show that the material obtained by the process of the references is different structurally from that obtained by the claimed process. Applicant argues that the prior art does not disclose hydrothermal treatment of already existing particles, however Applicant states that the product-by-process claim is not limited to that process therefore it is not required that the prior art disclose the process of the product-by-process claim.

With respect to the restriction of Claim 27, the present application is a national stage application of a PCT thus restriction under PCT Rule 13.2. Two-way distinctness of combination-subcombination is US restriction practice and not required for restriction under PCT Rule 13.2.